

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/791,336                                | 03/01/2004  | Stephen H. Sweet     | 19019.00011         | 2412            |
| 7590 07/13/2005                           |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
| Thrasher Associates, LLC 391 Sandhill Dr. |             |                      | · SMALLEY, JAMES N  |                 |
| Richardson, T                             | • •         |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
| •   |             |                      | 3727                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments  | 10/791,336   | SWEET, STEPHEN H.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | James N. Smalley   | 3727   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | <b>_</b> ·   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,_  | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | ,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45   | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | r alaction requirement   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement.  | ·  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | -···   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  | )-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  |  | ed III tills National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  |  | ed.  |  |  |  |  |
| Get the attached detailed Chief detail for a list   | or the continue copies not receive   | · ·  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(c)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary   | (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:  | atom Application (F 10-102)  |  |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office  |  | ·  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/791,336 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the embodiment depicting the handle extending downwardly must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-8, 10, 12, 15-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Betancourt US 5,398,374.

Art Unit: 3727

Betancourt '374 teaches a handle comprising a grip (30), lever portion (32), and trashcan door portion (34) fixed to a trashcan door (18). The handle appears to form an approximately 25-degree angle with the door. Col. 4, lines 13-22 teach fixing the handle to the door via screws, bolts, or any other conventional connector.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 5, 9, 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Betancourt US 5,398,374.

Regarding claims 3 and 11, Betancourt '374 does not disclose the material from which the handle is formed.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the handle and trash door of Betancourt '374, forming both elements of the same material, or of any other suitable material, motivated by the benefit of reducing the number of materials required to form the device. Furthermore, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding claims 5 and 17, Betancourt '374 does not disclose the handle extending downwardly. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the handle assembly of Betancourt '374, extending the handle downwardly. It has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

Regarding claim 9, Betancourt '374 does not disclose the size of the door.

Art Unit: 3727

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the trash door of Betancourt '374, forming it to 18 inches by 24 inches, or to any other suitable size, motivated by the benefit of conforming it to fit a like-sized trash container opening.

Furthermore, examiner notes it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the handle and trash door of Betancourt '374

6. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Betancourt US 5,398,374 in view of Feely US 2003/0098305.

Betancourt '374 does not teach the handle being attached by a glue, although the reference discloses in col. 4, lines 13-22, a connection may be "any type of conventional connector."

Feeley '305 discloses a trash door handle, and teaches in paragraph [0017] the handle may be fixed to the door by glue.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the handle of Betancourt '374, connecting the handle to the door by adhesive or apoxy, or any other glue, as taught by Feeley '305, motivated by the benefit of fixedly securing the handle to the door.

## Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
See attached PTO-892, citing references teaching various relevant handles and trash
receptacles.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James N. Smalley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4547. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-6:30, Alternate Fri 9-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,336 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nathan Newhouse can be reached on (571) 272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jns